



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,290	06/14/2005	Thomas L. Haschen	4845-0101PUS2	3643

2292 7590 02/14/2008

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)	Application No. 10/530,290	Applicant(s) HASCHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner KELLY MAHAFKEY	Art Unit 1794	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 07 January 2008 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☐ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☒ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

/KEITH D. HENDRICKS/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1761

/Kelly Mahafkey/

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

-The Brief Page 6, Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter states "Claims 84, 103, 105, 109, 112, 114-115, and 119-121 are independent and are summarized below. Claims 106, 107, 113, 117 and 118 are dependent and are summarized, below." The description below does not summarize claim 107 as stated. Additionally it is noted that the description below summarizes claims 101 and 116, however does not mention these claims in the introductory statement.

-The Brief Page 21 recites "Applicant respectfully submits that claims 84, 85, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120 and 121 do not stand or fall together, but are separately patentable." In the text to follow claims 119-121 are not addressed. Under the same section of Brief Page 21, line 15 recites, "claims 112, 114 and 114", this is believed to be a typo. Furthermore, it is noted that although the claims may recite different limitations it does not necessarily mean that the claims are separately patentable.

-The Brief Page 23, Grounds of Rejection fails to mention that claim 108 has been rejected under 112 2nd paragraph.

** Applicant's Representative is strongly encouraged to review the brief to ensure that each and every claim correctly corresponds to the rejections of record.